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## METHOD OF CHARACTERISTICS (MOC)

- source iterative solution (one-group problem)

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
(\Omega \cdot \nabla+\Sigma) \psi^{(n+1)}=q^{(n)} \\
\psi_{\text {in }}^{(n+1)}=\beta \psi_{\text {out }}^{(n)}+\psi_{0}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

- angular approximation

$$
S_{N}=\left\{w_{n}, \Omega_{n}, n=1, N\right\} \rightarrow \frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{(4 \pi)} d \Omega f(\Omega) \sim \sum_{n} w_{n} f\left(\Omega_{n}\right)
$$

- flat flux approximation over homogeneous regions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D=\cup_{i} D_{i}, D_{i} \text { of homogeneous support } \\
& \psi(\mathbf{r}, \Omega) \sim \sum_{i} \psi_{i}(\Omega) \theta_{i}(\mathbf{r})
\end{aligned}
$$

## Ce2 <br> MOC 1 : DISCRETIZATION SCHEME

- numerical implementation based on trajectories (in 2D XY 'planar' trajectories are lifted to polar directions)

- balance equation along a trajectory

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\psi_{+, i}(t, \Omega)-\psi_{-, i}(t, \Omega)+\Sigma_{i} R_{i}(t, \Omega) \psi_{i}(t, \Omega)=R_{i}(t, \Omega) q_{i}^{(n)}(\Omega) \\
\downarrow \\
V_{i} \psi_{i}(\Omega)=\int_{(i)} d r \psi(r, \Omega) \sim \sum_{t \| \Omega} \omega_{\perp}(t, \Omega) R_{i}(t, \Omega) \psi_{i}(t, \Omega)
\end{array}
$$

## MOC 2 : DISCRETIZATION SCHEME

$\boldsymbol{\Omega} \in S_{N}:$


- propagation equation across a region (flat source approximation)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underbrace{\psi_{+}(\mathbf{r}, \boldsymbol{\Omega})=e^{-\tau\left(r, r_{i n}\right)} \psi_{-}\left(\mathbf{r}_{i n}, \boldsymbol{\Omega}\right)+\int_{0}^{R(t, \boldsymbol{\Omega})} d R^{\prime} e^{-\tau\left(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right)} q\left(\mathbf{r}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{\Omega}\right)}_{\downarrow} \quad\left(\mathbf{r}^{\prime}=\mathbf{r}-R^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Omega}\right) \\
& \psi_{+, i}(t, \boldsymbol{\Omega})=T_{i}(t, \boldsymbol{\Omega}) \times \psi_{-, i}(t, \boldsymbol{\Omega})+E_{i}(t, \boldsymbol{\Omega}) \times q_{i}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { transmission \& escape coefficients : } T_{i}(t, \boldsymbol{\Omega})=e^{-\Sigma_{i} R_{i}(t, \boldsymbol{\Omega})}, \quad E_{i}(t, \boldsymbol{\Omega})=\frac{1-T_{i}(t, \boldsymbol{\Omega})}{\Sigma_{i}}
$$

## HIGHER ORDER BALANCE EQUATION: A CONSISTENCY PROBLEM

Defining a scalar product on a chord

$$
\langle f, g\rangle_{L}=\int_{0}^{L} d t f(t) g(t),
$$

the following generalized average balance per chord can be written

$$
\Sigma_{r}\left\langle\vec{P}, \Psi_{r}\right\rangle_{L}=\langle\vec{P}, \vec{P}\rangle_{L} \vec{q}_{r}+\vec{P}(0) \Psi_{r}(0)-\vec{P}(L) \Psi_{r}(L)+\left\langle\frac{\partial \vec{P}}{\partial t}, \Psi_{r}\right\rangle_{L},(\text { Sanchez 2012) }
$$

so that defining the polynomial coupling region matrix

$$
\overline{P P}(\vec{\Omega})=\frac{1}{V_{r}} \int_{r} d \vec{r} \vec{P}(\vec{z}) \otimes \vec{P}(\vec{z}) \simeq \frac{1}{V_{r}(\bar{\Omega})} \sum_{t \rightarrow \|}\langle\vec{P}, \vec{P}\rangle_{L_{t}},
$$

the « polynomial angular » balance equation is:

$$
\overline{\bar{C}}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{1}{\Delta z / 2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{2}{\Delta z / 2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{3}{\Delta z / 2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \ddots & \ddots
\end{array}\right] .
$$

$$
\Sigma_{r}^{\prime} \vec{\Psi}_{r}(\vec{\Omega})=\overline{\overline{P P}}(\vec{\Omega}) \cdot \vec{q}_{r}(\vec{\Omega})-\Delta \vec{J}_{r}(\vec{\Omega})+\mu \bar{C}^{\prime} \vec{\Psi}_{r}(\vec{\Omega}) .
$$

## BALANCE FROM ANGLE TO MOMENTS

The collision/fission operators use angular moments in the place of angular fluxes:

$$
\vec{\Phi}_{r}^{n}=\oint \frac{d \vec{\Omega}}{4 \pi} A_{n}(\vec{\Omega}) \vec{\Psi}_{r}(\vec{\Omega}) .
$$

Recall also that a < correspondence » exists between spatial moment and coefficients

$$
\vec{\Psi}_{r}(\vec{\Omega})=\overline{P P}^{-1} \cdot \cdot^{\prime} \vec{\Psi}_{r}(\vec{\Omega})
$$

If you define then the suite of angular-polynomial function base

$$
\overrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}}(\tilde{z}, \vec{\Omega})=\left\{A^{0}(\vec{\Omega}) P_{0}(\tilde{z}), A^{1}(\vec{\Omega}) P_{0}(\tilde{z}), \ldots, A^{0}(\vec{\Omega}) P_{1}(\tilde{z}), A^{1}(\vec{\Omega}) P_{1}(\tilde{z}), \ldots\right\}
$$

and project with over this in angle-space you get

$$
\Sigma_{r}^{\prime} \vec{\Phi}_{r}=\mathcal{Z Z} \cdot \vec{q}_{r}-\oint \frac{d \vec{\Omega}}{4 \pi} \vec{A}(\vec{\Omega}) \otimes \Delta \vec{J}_{r}(\vec{\Omega})+\mathcal{D} \cdot \vec{\Phi}_{r} . \quad \mathcal{Z Z}=\oint \frac{d \vec{\Omega}}{4 \pi}(\vec{A}(\vec{\Omega}) \otimes \vec{A}(\vec{\Omega})) \otimes \overline{P P}(\vec{\Omega})
$$

which in the typical "free" iteration scheme (index "i") takes an easy to solve lower triangular form:

$$
\Sigma_{r}{ }^{\prime} \vec{\Phi}_{r, p}^{i}=\left(\mathcal{Z Z} \cdot \vec{q}_{r}^{i-1}\right)_{p}-\frac{1}{4 \pi} \oint d \vec{\Omega} \vec{A}(\vec{\Omega}) \otimes\left(\Delta \vec{J}_{r}(\vec{\Omega})\right)_{p}^{i}+\frac{p}{\Delta z / 2} \cdot \overline{\bar{\alpha}}_{p} \cdot \vec{\Phi}_{r, p-1}^{i} \quad 6
$$

## CeZ $D P_{N}$ : SYNTHETIC ACCELERATION



- propagation \& balance equations :

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[\begin{array}{c}
\vec{J}_{\alpha_{v}}^{+} \\
\vec{J}_{\alpha_{h}}^{+}
\end{array}\right]=\sum_{\beta \in r}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\mathcal{T}_{\alpha_{v}^{+} \beta_{v}^{-}} & \mathcal{T}_{\alpha_{v}^{+} \beta_{h}^{-}} \\
\mathcal{T}_{\alpha_{h}^{+} \beta_{v}^{-}} & \mathcal{T}_{\alpha_{h}^{+} \beta_{h}^{-}}
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{c}
\vec{\Phi}_{\beta_{v}}^{-} \\
\vec{\Phi}_{\beta_{h}}^{-}
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{l}
\mathcal{E}_{\alpha_{v}^{+}} \\
\mathcal{E}_{\alpha_{h}^{+}}
\end{array}\right] \cdot \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{q}}_{r},} \\
\left(\Sigma_{r}-\mathcal{D}\right) \cdot{ }^{\prime} \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\Phi}}_{r}=\mathcal{\mathcal { Z }} \mathcal{Z}_{D} \cdot \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{q}}_{r}-\frac{1}{V_{r}} \sum_{\alpha \in r}\left(\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{J}}_{\alpha}^{+}-\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{J}}_{\alpha}^{-}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

- After "some" algebra a multi-collisional version of the $D P_{N}$ operator is used to solve:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{J}}^{+}={ }^{\dagger} \mathcal{T} \cdot \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{J}}^{-}+{ }^{\dagger} \mathcal{E} \cdot \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{q}}_{r}^{\text {ext }},{ }^{\dagger} \mathcal{T}=\left(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}+\overrightarrow{\mathcal{E}}^{+} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{s, r}^{g}{ }^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{\mathcal { I }}\right) \\
&{ }^{\dagger} \mathcal{E}=\overrightarrow{\mathcal{E}}^{+}\left(\mathcal{I}_{d}+\Sigma_{r, s}^{g} \cdot{ }^{\dagger} \mathcal{C}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## GOING TO AXIAL 3D GEOMETRIES

The basic difficulty for 3D MOC calculation is that we cannot store realistic 3D tracking data. To avoid this we consider only (at the beginning!) 3D axial geometries:


For these geometries the 3D tracking can be decomposed into 2 phases:

1. Tracking a general 2 D geometry on the $\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y}$ plane
2. Tracking a cartesian geometry on the s-z plane

Only 1 need to be stored but reconstruct 2 can be too expensive!

## OPTIMIZED 3D TRACKING

Thanks to axial regularity the set of 3D chords can be decomposed into a low number of classes that not only allow to reduce memory but also to decrease computational cost.

Thus, transmission coefficients,

$$
T_{i}(t, \boldsymbol{\Omega})=e^{-\Sigma_{i} R_{i}(t, \boldsymbol{\Omega})}
$$

are computed only per class and medium.



## ASTRID REACTOR EXAMPLE: 2D SECTION




## ASTRID REACTOR: AXIAL VIEW



## ASTRID REACTOR CALCULATIONS



## ASTRID REACTOR: SECOND CALCULATION



## ASTRID REACTOR: SECOND CALCULATION

Sub-assembly axial flux profile Step


## POLYNOMIAL BASIS DEFINITION

Polynomial basis to express fluxes and sources moments:

$$
\vec{P}\left(\tilde{z}_{r}\right)=\left\{\tilde{z}_{r}^{p}=\left(\frac{z_{r}-\bar{z}_{r}}{\Delta z_{r} / 2}\right)^{p}, \quad 0 \leq p \leq N_{p}\right\} \quad \tilde{z}_{r} \in[-1,1]
$$

## POLYNOMIAL BASIS DEFINITION

Polynomial basis to express fluxes and sources moments:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vec{P}\left(\tilde{z}_{r}\right)=\left\{\tilde{z}_{r}^{p}=\left(\frac{z_{r}-\bar{z}_{r}}{\Delta z_{r} / 2}\right)^{p}, \quad 0 \leq p \leq N_{p}\right\} \quad \tilde{z}_{r} \in[-1,1] \\
& q(\vec{r}, \vec{\Omega})=\sum_{n=1}^{N_{m}} A_{n}(\vec{\Omega}) \cdot q^{n}(\vec{r})
\end{aligned}
$$

## POLYNOMIAL BASIS DEFINITION

Polynomial basis to express fluxes and sources moments:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vec{P}\left(\tilde{z}_{r}\right)=\left\{\tilde{z}_{r}^{p}=\left(\frac{z_{r}-\bar{z}_{r}}{\Delta z_{r} / 2}\right)^{p}, \quad 0 \leq p \leq N_{p}\right\} \quad \tilde{z}_{r} \in[-1,1] \\
& q(\vec{r}, \vec{\Omega})=\sum_{n=1}^{N_{m}} A_{n}(\vec{\Omega}) \cdot q^{n}(\vec{r}) \\
& \text { Step approximation } \longrightarrow q^{n}(\vec{r}) \simeq q_{r}^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

## POLYNOMIAL BASIS DEFINITION

Polynomial basis to express fluxes and sources moments:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vec{P}\left(\tilde{z}_{r}\right)=\left\{\tilde{z}_{r}^{p}=\left(\frac{z_{r}-\bar{z}_{r}}{\Delta z_{r} / 2}\right)^{p}, \quad 0 \leq p \leq N_{p}\right\} \quad \tilde{z}_{r} \in[-1,1] \\
& q(\vec{r}, \vec{\Omega})=\sum_{n=1}^{N_{m}} A_{n}(\vec{\Omega}) \cdot q^{n}(\vec{r})
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Step approximation } \longrightarrow q^{n}(\vec{r}) \simeq q_{r}^{n} \\
& \text { Polynomial approximation } \longrightarrow q^{n}(\vec{r})=\sum_{p}^{N_{p}} P_{p}\left(\tilde{z}_{r}\right) \cdot q_{r, p o l, p}^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

## POLYNOMIAL BASIS DEFINITION

Polynomial basis to express fluxes and sources moments:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vec{P}\left(\tilde{z}_{r}\right)=\left\{\tilde{z}_{r}^{p}=\left(\frac{z_{r}-\bar{z}_{r}}{\Delta z_{r} / 2}\right)^{p}, \quad 0 \leq p \leq N_{p}\right\} \quad \tilde{z}_{r} \in[-1,1] \\
& q(\vec{r}, \vec{\Omega})=\sum_{n=1}^{N_{m}} A_{n}(\vec{\Omega}) \cdot q^{n}(\vec{r}) \\
& \text { Step approximation } \quad q^{n}(\vec{r}) \simeq q_{r}^{n} \\
& \text { Polynomial approximation } \\
& \hline q(\vec{r}, \vec{\Omega})=\vec{P}\left(\tilde{z}_{r}\right) \cdot \vec{q}_{r, p o l}^{n}(\vec{r})=\sum_{p}^{N_{p}} P_{p}\left(\tilde{z}_{r}\right) \cdot q_{r, p o l, p}^{n} \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

## POLYNOMIAL TRANSMISSION EQUATION

- Polynomial transmission equation:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
q(\vec{r}, \vec{\Omega})=\vec{P}\left(\tilde{z}_{r}\right) \cdot \vec{q}_{r, p o l}(\vec{\Omega}) \\
\Psi\left(t^{\text {out }}, \vec{\Omega}\right)=\Psi\left(t^{\text {in }}, \vec{\Omega}\right) \cdot e^{-\Sigma_{r} l}+\int_{t^{i n}}^{t^{\text {out }}} d t^{\prime} \downarrow\left(\vec{r}\left(t^{\prime}\right), \vec{\Omega}\right) e^{-\Sigma_{r}\left(t^{\text {out }}-t^{\prime}\right)}
\end{array}
$$

- Polynomial transmission equation:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
q(\vec{r}, \vec{\Omega})=\vec{P}\left(\tilde{z}_{r}\right) \cdot \vec{q}_{r, p o l}(\vec{\Omega}) \\
\Psi\left(t^{\text {out }}, \vec{\Omega}\right)=\Psi\left(t^{\text {in }}, \vec{\Omega}\right) \cdot e^{-\Sigma_{r} l}+\int_{t^{\text {in }}}^{t^{\text {out }}} d t^{\prime} \\
\downarrow\left(\vec{r}\left(t^{\prime}\right), \vec{\Omega}\right) e^{-\Sigma_{r}\left(t^{\text {out }}-t^{\prime}\right)}
\end{array}
$$

- Numerical polynomial transmission equation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Psi_{r}\left(t^{\text {out }}, \vec{\Omega}\right)=\Psi_{r}\left(t^{i n}, \vec{\Omega}\right) \cdot e^{-\Sigma_{r} l}+ \\
& \quad+\sum_{k=0}^{N_{p}} P_{k}\left(z^{i n}\right) \cdot \sum_{p=k}^{N_{p}} c_{p k} \mu^{p-k}\left(\frac{2}{\Delta z}\right)^{p-k} E_{p-k}(\tau) \frac{\left(\vec{q}_{r, p o l}(\vec{\Omega})\right)_{p}}{\Sigma_{r}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## POLYNOMIAL TRANSMISSION EQUATION

- Polynomial transmission equation:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
q(\vec{r}, \vec{\Omega})=\vec{P}\left(\tilde{z}_{r}\right) \cdot \vec{q}_{r, p o l}(\vec{\Omega}) \\
\Psi\left(t^{\text {out }}, \vec{\Omega}\right)=\Psi\left(t^{\text {in }}, \vec{\Omega}\right) \cdot e^{-\Sigma_{r} l}+\int_{t^{i n}}^{t^{\text {out }}} d t^{\prime} \downarrow\left(\vec{r}\left(t^{\prime}\right), \vec{\Omega}\right) e^{-\Sigma_{r}\left(t^{\text {out }}-t^{\prime}\right)}
\end{array}
$$

- Numerical polynomial transmission equation:

- Polynomial transmission equation:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
q(\vec{r}, \vec{\Omega})=\vec{P}\left(\tilde{z}_{r}\right) \cdot \vec{q}_{r, p o l}(\vec{\Omega}) \\
\Psi\left(t^{\text {out }}, \vec{\Omega}\right)=\Psi\left(t^{\text {in }}, \vec{\Omega}\right) \cdot e^{-\Sigma_{r} l}+\int_{t^{i n}}^{t^{\text {out }}} d t^{\prime} \downarrow\left(\vec{r}\left(t^{\prime}\right), \vec{\Omega}\right) e^{-\Sigma_{r}\left(t^{\text {out }}-t^{\prime}\right)}
\end{array}
$$

- Numerical polynomial transmission equation:



## POLYNOMIAL TRANSMISSION EQUATION

- Polynomial transmission equation:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
q(\vec{r}, \vec{\Omega})=\vec{P}\left(\tilde{z}_{r}\right) \cdot \vec{q}_{r, p o l}(\vec{\Omega}) \\
\Psi\left(t^{\text {out }}, \vec{\Omega}\right)=\Psi\left(t^{i n}, \vec{\Omega}\right) \cdot e^{-\Sigma_{r} l}+\int_{t^{\text {in }}}^{t^{\text {out }}} d t^{\prime} \\
\downarrow\left(\vec{r}\left(t^{\prime}\right), \vec{\Omega}\right) e^{-\Sigma_{r}\left(t^{\text {out }}-t^{\prime}\right)}
\end{array}
$$

- Numerical polynomial transmission equation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Psi_{r}\left(t^{\text {out }}, \vec{\Omega}\right)=\Psi_{r}\left(t^{i n}, \vec{\Omega}\right) \cdot e^{-\Sigma_{r} l}+ \\
& \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { Escape coefficient: } \\
E_{p-k}(\tau)=\frac{1}{\Sigma_{r}^{(p-k)} \int_{\tau\left(t^{i n}\right)}^{\tau\left(t^{\text {out })}\right.} d \tau^{\prime} \tau^{\prime p-k}} \text { where }
\end{array} \\
& \quad+\sum_{k=0}^{N_{p}} P_{k}\left(z^{i n}\right) \cdot \sum_{p=k}^{N_{p}} c_{p k} \mu^{p-k}\left(\frac{2}{\Delta z}\right)^{p-k} E_{p-k}(\tau) \frac{\left(\vec{q}_{r, p o l}(\vec{\Omega})\right)_{p}}{\Sigma_{r}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## STEP VS POLYNOMIAL TRANSMISSION

- Step transmission:

$$
\Psi\left(t^{o u t}, \vec{\Omega}\right)=\Psi\left(t^{i n}, \vec{\Omega}\right) \cdot e^{-\Sigma_{r} l}+\left(1-e^{-\Sigma_{r} l}\right) \cdot \frac{q_{r}(\vec{\Omega})}{\Sigma_{r}}
$$

- Polynomial transmission:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Psi_{r}\left(t^{\text {out }}, \vec{\Omega}\right)=\Psi_{r}\left(t^{i n}, \vec{\Omega}\right) \cdot e^{-\Sigma_{r} l}+ \\
& \quad+\sum_{k=0}^{N_{p}} P_{k}\left(z^{i n}\right) \cdot \sum_{p=k}^{N_{p}} c_{p k} \mu^{p-k}\left(\frac{2}{\Delta z}\right)^{p-k} E_{p-k}(\tau) \frac{\left(\vec{q}_{r, p o l}(\vec{\Omega})\right)_{p}}{\Sigma_{r}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## CHORDS CLASSIFICATION

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Psi_{r}\left(t^{\text {out }}, \vec{\Omega}\right)=\Psi_{r}\left(t^{i n}, \vec{\Omega}\right) \cdot e^{-\Sigma_{r} l}+ \\
& \quad+\sum_{k=0}^{N_{p}} P_{k}\left(z^{i n}\right) \cdot \sum_{p=k}^{N_{p}} c_{p k} \mu^{p-k}\left(\frac{2}{\Delta z}\right)^{p-k} E_{p-k}(\tau) \frac{\left(\vec{q}_{r, p o l}(\vec{\Omega})\right)_{p}}{\Sigma_{r}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## CHORDS CLASSIFICATION

Thanks to chords classification...

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Psi_{r}\left(t^{\text {out }}, \vec{\Omega}\right)=\Psi_{r}\left(t^{i n}, \vec{\Omega}\right) \cdot e^{-\Sigma_{r} l}+ \\
& \quad+\sum_{k=0}^{N_{p}} P_{k}\left(z^{i n}\right) \cdot \sum_{p=k}^{N_{p}} c_{p k} \mu^{p-k}\left(\frac{2}{\Delta z}\right)^{p-k} E_{p-k}(\tau) \frac{\left(\vec{q}_{r, p o l}(\vec{\Omega})\right)_{p}}{\Sigma_{r}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## CHORDS CLASSIFICATION

Thanks to chords classification...

$$
\Psi_{r}\left(t^{o u t}, \vec{\Omega}\right)=\Psi_{r}\left(t^{i n}, \vec{\Omega}\right) \cdot e^{-\Sigma_{r} l}+
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\sum_{k=0}^{N_{p}} P_{k}\left(z^{i n}\right) \cdot \sum_{(p=k}^{N_{p}} c_{p k} \mu^{p-k}\left(\frac{2}{\Delta z}\right)^{p-k} E_{p-k}(\tau) \frac{\left(\vec{q}_{r, p o l}(\vec{\Omega})\right)_{p}}{\Sigma_{r}} \\
& \longrightarrow \\
& \longrightarrow
\end{aligned}
$$

For a given angle, z-plane and 2D-chord, each 3D chords with the same length, belongs to the same class and has the same values of this term

## CHORDS CLASSIFICATION

Thanks to chords classification...

$$
\Psi_{r}\left(t^{o u t}, \vec{\Omega}\right)=\Psi_{r}\left(t^{i n}, \vec{\Omega}\right) \cdot e^{-\Sigma_{r} l}+
$$

$$
+\sum_{k=0}^{N_{p}} P_{k}\left(z^{i n}\right) \cdot \underbrace{\sum_{p=k}^{N_{p}} c_{p k} \mu^{p-k}\left(\frac{2}{\Delta z}\right)^{p-k} E_{p-k}(\tau) \frac{\left(\vec{q}_{r, p o l}(\vec{\Omega})\right)_{p}}{\Sigma_{r}}}
$$

| $\bullet$ Total number of chords | 10.39 M |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\bullet$ Number of classes | 0.814 M |



For a given angle, z-plane and 2D-chord, each 3D chords with the same length, belongs to the same class and has the same values of this term

## CHORDS CLASSIFICATION

Thanks to chords classification...


## CHORDS CLASSIFICATION

Thanks to chords classification...


## STEP VS POLYNOMIAL

- For a fair comparison:

Step

$$
\Psi\left(t^{\text {out }}, \vec{\Omega}\right)=\Psi\left(t^{i n}, \vec{\Omega}\right) \cdot e^{-\Sigma_{r} l}+\left(1-e^{-\Sigma_{r} l}\right) \cdot \frac{q_{r}(\vec{\Omega})}{\Sigma_{r}}
$$

Polynomial

$$
\Psi\left(t^{o u t}, \vec{\Omega}\right)=\Psi\left(t^{i n}, \vec{\Omega}\right) \cdot e^{-\Sigma_{r} l}+\vec{P}\left(\tilde{z}^{i n}\right) \cdot \vec{T}
$$

## STEP VS POLYNOMIAL

- For a fair comparison:
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Step } & \Psi\left(t^{\text {out }}, \vec{\Omega}\right)=\Psi\left(t^{\text {in }}, \vec{\Omega}\right) \cdot e^{-\Sigma_{r} l}+\left(1-e^{-\Sigma_{r} l} \bigodot^{\frac{q_{r}(\vec{\Omega})}{\Sigma_{r}}}\right. \\ \text { Polynomial } & \Psi\left(t^{\text {out }}, \vec{\Omega}\right)=\Psi\left(t^{\text {in }}, \vec{\Omega}\right) \cdot e^{-\Sigma_{r} l}+\vec{P}\left(\tilde{z}^{i n}\right) \cdot \vec{T}\end{array}$
1 floating point operation


## STEP VS POLYNOMIAL

- For a fair comparison:



## STEP VS POLYNOMIAL

- For a fair comparison:

Step

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Psi\left(t^{\text {out }}, \vec{\Omega}\right)=\Psi\left(t^{\text {in }}, \vec{\Omega}\right) \cdot e^{-\Sigma_{r} l}+\left(1-e^{-\Sigma_{r} l}\right) \bigodot^{\frac{q_{r}(\vec{\Omega})}{\Sigma_{r}}} \\
& \Psi\left(t^{\text {out }}, \vec{\Omega}\right)=\Psi\left(t^{i n}, \vec{\Omega}\right) \cdot e^{-\Sigma_{r} l}+\vec{P}\left(\tilde{z}^{i n}\right. \\
& \rightharpoonup
\end{aligned} \underbrace{1 \text { floating point operation }} \begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{p}} \text { floating point operations }
\end{aligned}
$$

Polynomial

Plus the information needed for the balance equation:
Step $\quad \Psi_{r}(\vec{\Omega})=\frac{1}{\Sigma_{r}}\left[q_{r}(\vec{\Omega})-\frac{S_{\perp}}{V_{r}} \sum_{\substack{t \| \Omega \\ t \cap r}}\left(\Psi\left(t^{\text {out }}, \vec{\Omega}\right)-\Psi\left(t^{\text {in }}, \vec{\Omega}\right)\right)\right]$

## STEP VS POLYNOMIAL

- For a fair comparison:

Step

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi\left(t^{\text {out }}, \vec{\Omega}\right) & =\Psi\left(t^{\text {in }}, \vec{\Omega}\right) \cdot e^{-\Sigma_{r} l}+\left(1-e^{-\Sigma_{r} l}\right) \bigodot^{\frac{q_{r}(\vec{\Omega})}{\Sigma_{r}}} \\
\Psi\left(t^{\text {out }}, \vec{\Omega}\right) & =\Psi\left(t^{i n}, \vec{\Omega}\right) \cdot e^{-\Sigma_{r} l}+\vec{P}\left(\tilde{z}^{i n}\right. \\
& { }^{\vec{T}} \downarrow \\
& \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{p}} \text { floating point operations }
\end{aligned}
$$

Polynomial

Plus the information needed for the balance equation:
Step $\quad \Psi_{r}(\vec{\Omega})=\frac{1}{\Sigma_{r}}\left[q_{r}(\vec{\Omega})-\frac{S_{\perp}}{V_{r}} \sum_{\substack{t \mid \vec{\Omega} \\ t n_{r}}}\left(\Psi\left(t^{\text {out }}, \vec{\Omega}\right)-\Psi\left(t^{\text {in }}, \vec{\Omega}\right)\right)\right]$

## STEP VS POLYNOMIAL

- For a fair comparison:

Step

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi\left(t^{\text {out }}, \vec{\Omega}\right) & =\Psi\left(t^{\text {in }}, \vec{\Omega}\right) \cdot e^{-\Sigma_{r} l}+\left(1-e^{-\Sigma_{r} l}\right) \bigodot^{\frac{q_{r}(\vec{\Omega})}{\Sigma_{r}}} \\
\Psi\left(t^{\text {out }}, \vec{\Omega}\right) & =\Psi\left(t^{i n}, \vec{\Omega}\right) \cdot e^{-\Sigma_{r} l}+\vec{P}\left(\tilde{z}^{i n}\right. \\
& \stackrel{\vec{T}}{ } \underbrace{1 \text { floating point operation }}
\end{aligned}
$$

Polynomial

Plus the information needed for the balance equation:
Step $\Psi_{r}(\vec{\Omega})=\frac{1}{\Sigma_{r}}\left[q_{r}(\vec{\Omega})-\frac{S_{1}}{V_{r}} \sum_{\substack{t \| \Omega \\ t \cap r}}\left(\Psi\left(t^{\text {out }}, \vec{\Omega}\right)-\Psi\left(t^{i n}, \vec{\Omega}\right)\right)\right]$
Polynomial

$$
\tilde{\delta}_{r, p}(\vec{\Omega})=\sum_{\substack{t \| \vec{\Omega} \\ t \cap r}}\left[P_{p}\left(\tilde{z}^{o u t}\right) \cdot \Psi\left(t^{o u t}\right)-P_{p}\left(\tilde{z}^{i n}\right) \cdot \Psi\left(t^{i n}\right)\right]
$$

## STEP VS POLYNOMIAL

- For a fair comparison:

Step

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Psi\left(t^{\text {out }}, \vec{\Omega}\right)=\Psi\left(t^{i n}, \vec{\Omega}\right) \cdot e^{-\Sigma_{r} l}+\left(1-e^{-\Sigma_{r} l}\right) \bigodot_{\frac{q_{r}(\vec{\Omega})}{\Sigma_{r}}}^{\Sigma_{r}} \\
& \begin{array}{c}
\Psi\left(t^{\text {out }}, \vec{\Omega}\right)=\Psi\left(t^{\text {in }}, \vec{\Omega}\right) \cdot e^{-\Sigma_{r} l} \\
\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{p}} \text { floating point operations }
\end{array} \\
& 1 \text { floating point operation }
\end{aligned}
$$

Polynomial

Plus the information needed for the balance equation:
Step $\Psi_{r}(\vec{\Omega})=\frac{1}{\Sigma_{r}}\left[q_{r}(\vec{\Omega})-\frac{S_{1}}{V_{r}} \sum_{\substack{t \| \Omega \\ t \cap n}}\left(\Psi\left(t^{\text {out }}, \vec{\Omega}\right)-\Psi\left(t^{i n}, \vec{\Omega}\right)\right)\right]$ $\xrightarrow{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{p}} \text { floating point operations }}$

Polynomial

$$
\tilde{\delta}_{r, p}(\vec{\Omega})=\sum_{\substack{t \| \vec{\Omega} \\ t \cap r}}\left[P_{p}\left(\tilde{z}^{o u t} \bigcirc \Psi\left(t^{o u t}\right)-P_{p}\left(\tilde{z}^{i n}\right) \cdot \Psi\left(t^{i n}\right)\right]\right.
$$

## STEP VS POLYNOMIAL

- For a fair comparison:

Step

$$
\Psi\left(t^{o u t}, \vec{\Omega}\right)=\Psi\left(t^{i n}, \vec{\Omega}\right) \cdot e^{-\Sigma_{r} l}+\left(1-e^{-\Sigma_{r} l} \bigodot^{\frac{q_{r}(\vec{\Omega})}{\Sigma_{r}}}\right.
$$

Polynomial

$$
\Psi\left(t^{\text {out }}, \vec{\Omega}\right)=\Psi\left(t^{\text {in }}, \vec{\Omega}\right) \cdot e^{-\Sigma_{r} l}
$$

1 floating point operation

Plus the information needed for the balance equation:


## AXIAL DISCRETIZATION

Difference between the axial discretization needed in the Step Constant and in the Polynomial case:
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## FINAL CONSIDERATIONS

- Higher numbers of floating point operations per chord
- Some of them can be vectorized
- Less axial planes also means less chords (~-15\%)
- Less memory needed



## POLYNOMIAL VS STEP: RESULTS 2

| Method | Step |  |  |  |  | Polynomial ( $N_{p}=2$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Delta r(\mathrm{~cm})$ | 0.05 |  |  |  |  | 0.05 |  |  |
| $\Delta s(\mathrm{~cm})$ | 1.0 |  |  |  |  | 1.0 |  | 0.5 |
| Axial meshes | 57 | 110 | 180 | 257 | 600 | 5 | 6 | 12 |
| \# chords | 29.57 M | 31.88 M | 34.93 M | 38.29 M | 53.24 M | 27.31 M | 27.35 M | 55.24 M |
| \# classes | 17.07 M | 21.12 M | 21.80 M | 20.33 M | 18.16 M | 1.90 M | 2.28 M | 4.57 M |
| Classification | 83.82 \% | 74.67 \% | 66.68 \% | 59.56 \% | 52.39 \% | 98.4 \% | 98.09 \% | 96.21 \% |
| Self-shielding | Tone: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $k_{\text {eff }}$ | 1.163761 | 1.165075 | 1.165412 | 1.165672 | 1.165744 | 1.165584 | 1.165805 | 1.165801 |
| $\begin{gathered} \rho \text { err / T4 } \\ (\text { PCM }) \end{gathered}$ | -96.45 | +0.44 | +25.43 | +44.66 | +49.72 | +37.76 | +54.00 | +53.92 |
| Time | 12210 s | 22719 s | 37785 s | 55472 s | 127809 s | 15384 s | 16326 s | 34775 s |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Self-shielding | Sub-Groups: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $k_{\text {eff }}$ | 1.164114 | 1.16543 | 1.165767 | 1.166027 | 1.166094 | 1.165927 | 1.166147 | 1.166154 |
| $\begin{gathered} \rho \text { err } / \mathbf{T} 4 \\ (\text { PCM }) \end{gathered}$ | -70.54 | +26.38 | + 51.22 | +70.28 | +75.42 | +63.01 | + 79.27 | +79.72 |
| Time | 12575 s | 24031 s | 38454 s | 56500 s | 124470 s | 16174 s | 17316 s | 50620 s |

- An impressive gain in computational meshes is obtained


## SELF-SHIELDING/ACCELERATION IMPACT

| Self-shielding effect |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $k_{e f f}$ | $\delta k_{e f f}$ |
| NO self-shielding | 1.093190 | -2932 PCM |
| Sub-Groups method | 1.127149 | +84 PCM |
| Tone method | 1.126910 | +62 PCM |
| Table 5: Self-shielding effect for the full-column <br> case in nominal conditions. $\delta k_{e f f}$ <br> relative error (in PCM) <br> erence Tripoli4 calculation. Nominal conditions. |  |  |


|  | $D P_{1}$ polynomial order  <br> Acc./Free  <br>   <br> Time  |  | 0 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | 0.16 | 1 | 2 |
| Outers | 0.66 | - | 0.06 |
| Inners | 0.11 | - | 0.11 |
| Memory | 1.96 | - | 10.06 |

Table 6: Ratios of times, number of iterations and memory footprint between accelerated calculations and free iterations for varying order of the spatial polynomial order of the $D P_{1}$ operator. The case considered is the full-column assembly in nominal conditions.

- A factor 20 of computational time reduction can be obtained but there is a memory price to pay. (Work on it is under way!)
- NOTE: All micro/macro-scopic reaction rate errors are below $1 \%$


## Cea conclusions

- Polynomial MOC is on the way
- Classifications of chords is of fundamental importance
- Dpn acceleration works but it is memory expensive
- Many ways are possible for memory reduction
- How about XS?
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## CeZ MOC 1 : BASIC ITERATIVE SCHEME

- scattering term expansion $\quad q(\mathbf{r}, \Omega) \sim \sum_{i} q_{i}(\Omega) \theta_{i}(\mathbf{r})$

$$
q_{i}(\Omega)=\underbrace{\sum_{k=0}^{K} \sum_{s k, i} \sum_{l=-k}^{k} \phi_{k, i}^{l} A_{k}^{l}(\Omega)}_{\text {scattering }}+S_{i}(\Omega)
$$

- cell averaged angular flux moments

$$
\phi_{k, i}^{l}=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{(4 \pi)} d \Omega A_{k}^{l}(\Omega) \psi_{i}(\Omega) \sim \sum_{n} w_{n} A_{k}^{l}\left(\Omega_{n}\right) \psi_{i}\left(\Omega_{n}\right)
$$

- positive method
- no fix-up is necessary
- arbitrary anisotropy order


## $D P_{N}$ : SYNTHETIC ACCELERATION

$\square$ Synthetic acceleration

- perform a free iteration

$$
\binom{\Phi^{(n)}}{J_{+}^{(n)}} \rightarrow\binom{\Phi_{\text {free }}^{(n+1)}}{J_{+, \text {free }}^{(n+1)}}
$$

- solve synthetic acceleration for

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
D\binom{\delta \Phi^{(n)}}{\delta J_{+}^{(n)}}=H\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\Phi^{(n+1)} \\
\text { free } & \Phi_{\text {free }}^{(n)} \\
J_{+,}^{(n+1)} \\
+J_{\text {free }}^{(n)} \\
+, \text { freee }
\end{array}\right.
\end{array}\right) .
$$

- this approach can be extended from inhomogeneous to eigenvalue problems


## $D P_{N} 2$

- transmission and escape first flight probabilities (symmetry and conservation preserved by numerical scheme) for SC method:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
T_{\alpha \beta}^{\rho v}=T_{\beta \alpha}^{\rho v}=\int_{\alpha} d S \int_{\beta \rightarrow \alpha} d \Omega A^{\rho}(\boldsymbol{\Omega}) A^{v}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})|\mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Omega}| e^{-\Sigma_{i} R(\mathbf{r}, \boldsymbol{\Omega})} & \text { (symmetry) } \\
E_{\alpha}^{\rho v}=\frac{1}{\sum_{i} V_{i}}\left(A_{\alpha}^{\rho v}-\sum_{\alpha \in \partial i} T_{\alpha \beta}^{\rho v}\right) & \text { (conservation) }
\end{array}
$$

- similar formulas can be written for the Linear Surface method.
- numerical evaluation (coherence with transport)

$$
T_{\alpha \beta}^{\rho v} \sim \sum_{\boldsymbol{\Omega}} \mathrm{w}_{\boldsymbol{\Omega}} \mathrm{A}^{\rho}(\boldsymbol{\Omega}) \mathrm{A}^{v}(\boldsymbol{\Omega}) \sum_{(t, \boldsymbol{\Omega}) \in \beta \rightarrow \alpha} \mathrm{w}_{\perp}(t, \boldsymbol{\Omega}) e^{-\Sigma_{i} R(t, \boldsymbol{\Omega})}
$$

## Cea $\quad D P_{N} 3$

- after elimination of cell fluxes, the $D P_{N}$ acceleration equations are solved iteratively for the currents

$$
\begin{gathered}
\vec{J}_{+, \alpha}=\sum_{\beta \in \partial i} \hat{T} \vec{J}_{-, \beta}+\vec{J}_{S} \\
\hat{T}_{\alpha \beta}^{\rho v}=T_{\alpha \beta}^{\rho v}+E_{\alpha}^{\rho 0} \frac{\Sigma_{i} V_{i} \Sigma_{s i}}{\Sigma_{a i}+E^{00} \Sigma_{s i}} E_{\beta}^{0 v} S^{v} \\
\text { generalized transmission } \\
\text { related to multicollisional processes }
\end{gathered}
$$

- solution with a Krilov iterator (BCGS or GMRES): $\quad M \vec{\psi}=\vec{S}$

$$
\text { iterator : } \quad M=1-\hat{T}
$$

with an adapted ILUO and domain decomposition method.

## MOC 3 : BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

Adapted tracking is done to exactly take into account symmetries and boundary conditions
p/2 rotation


Cyclic tracking for infinite periodic systems


