

A rigorous protocol for evaluating the effectiveness of gloves against nanoparticles in solution

Ludwig Vinches^a, Mohamed Zemzem^a, Stéphane Hallé^a Caroline Peyrot^b, Kevin J. Wilkinson^b Nathalie Tufenkji^c ^a École de technologie supérieure ^b Université de Montréal ^c McGill University



November 7-10, 2016 – Grenoble (France)

Context

• Majority of the studies use engineering nanoparticles (ENP) in aerosol

Graphite – 30/40 and 80 nm (Golanski et al. 2009) TiO₂ – 10 nm (Golanski et al. 2009) Silver – 10 to 150 nm (Park et al. 2011)

-> Few studies with ENP in solution

• Simulate the occupational use of the gloves



Repeated mechanical deformations (Dolez et al. 2011) **Microclimate in the glove (sweat)** (Lambers et al. 2006, Vinches et al. 2016)

→ Development of a test setup

How to measure the real quantity of ENP which passes through the gloves ?
 Use the right devices (Vinches et al. submitted)
 Evaluate the losses of ENP (Vinches et al. submitted)



Vinches et al. - nano

Summarize the different necessary steps to evaluate the effectiveness of disposable protective gloves against ENP in solution

Nitrile rubber gloves





Commercial gold suspension

5 nm, PVP, 0.05 mg/mL in MilliQ water

TEM diameter = 5.0 ± 0.6 nm Hydrodynamic diameter (DLS) = 9.2 ± 0.6 nm



Setup to simulate the hand flexing and the microclimate into the glove (sweat)



Methodology

Origins in the loss of nanoparticles



Evaluation of the effectiveness of gloves in FOUR steps



Step 1 – Selection of compatible storage bottles

- Preparation of a gold suspension at a nominal concentration of 10 μ g/L (concentration expected based on previous work) in physiological solution at pH = 6.
- Storage in bottles of six different chemical compositions.
- ICPMS analysis after 0, 24, 48 and 72 hours.

Restitution coefficient (%)

	After 24 hours	After 72 hours
Glass	100	100
Polycarbonate	75	65
Polypropylene	70	50
Teflon	65	50
Low Density Polyethylene	60	40
High Density Polyethylene	50	30

Use of <u>glass bottles</u> as storage bottles for gold nanoparticles in solution

Step 2 – Evaluation of the loss coefficient for the test

- Preparation of two gold suspensions at a nominal concentration of 10 μ g/L and 100 μ g/L in physiological solution at pH = 6
- \bullet The physiological solution replaced by the 10 or 100 $\mu g/L$ gold suspensions
- No commercial nanoparticle suspension in the exposure chamber



Step 3 – Mechanical deformation (MD) tests



Vinches et al. - nanger 16

Step 4 : Gold nanoparticle penetration: ICP-MS results

	M±SD (μg/L) (n=10)	Maximal Concentration (µg/L)	Minimal Concentration (µg/L)
NBR-1a (Feb. 2015)	0.446 ± 0.162	0.782	0.319
NBR-1b (Feb. 2015)	0.530 ± 0.524	1.802	0.172
NBR-2 (Sept. 2015)	1.662 ± 2.994	10.028	0.162
NBR-3 (March 2014)	0.273 ± 0.132	0.477	< LOD

- 1. Passage of gold nanoparticles through this model of nitrile glove indicating its low effectiveness
- 2. A 13 fold difference in the maximum for different batches
- 3. !! The oldest batch of gloves offers the best protection against gold nanoparticles and the newest batch offer the worst protection !!

RIGOROUS METHODOLOGY TO EVALUATE THE EFFECTIVENESS OF PROTECTIVE DISPOSABLE GLOVES AGAINST NANOPARTICLES IN SOLUTION

IDENTIFICATION OF FOUR IMPORTANT STEPS

- 1- Determine the most suitable storage bottles for the sampling solution
- 2- Evaluate the loss coefficient of the sampling protocol
- 3- Perform the permeation test
- 4- Measure the permeation of ENPs through disposable protective gloves

Clean the different parts of the test setup to minimise contamination.

Limitation of this methodology : The four steps must be performed for each type of nanoparticles in solution

Effectiveness results :

- Significant concentrations of gold nanoparticles observed in the sampling solution
- Depending on the batch and on the box (variability in the manufacturing process)
- Permeation of ENP due to a loss of integrity of the elastomer (MD and swelling)

Thanks for the financial support of :





And with the contribution of :

Aoued Belhadj, Mehdi Ben Salah, Nidal Boutrigue from ETS Madjid Hadioui, Laura Lemarchand from Université de Montréal







Thank you for your attention







