Nanomedicine and Nanotoxicology Group Physics Institute of São Carlos - University of São Paulo

BIO-NANO INTERFACE MODELS APPLIED TO THE INVESTIGATION OF NANOPARTICLES CELL UPTAKE: PROOF OF CONCEPT USING REAL MEMBRANE MODELS

J. Cancino-Bernardi, P.M.P.Lins, V.S. Marangoni, V. Zucolotto

November 7-10, 2016 - Grenoble, France

Potencial applications of nanomaterials in medicine





- Drug delivery
- Theranostics agents detection and treatment
- Images
- Photothermal and photodynamic therapies



jcancino@ursa.ifsc.usp.br

*Adapted with permission from Farokhzad et al. ACSNano v 3, 20 Copyright 2009 American Chemical Society.

However there are potencial toxicology effects...

"nanotoxicology would make an important contribution to the development of a sustainable and safe nanotechnology". Donaldson et al. (2004)



In vivo

Aiming to elucidate the mechanisms involved with nanotoxicology aspects!

Ferrari et al. Cancer Science v 102 2011 and Soenen et al. ACSNano v 6 2012

Motivation



Complexity of mechanisms involved in the bio-nano interface;
Molecular level investigation.

✓ Biophysics interaction of nanomaterial in real cell membranes

- ✓ Using cellular membranes from cancer and health cells
- Langmuir and surface techniques
- ✓ Associate with in vitro studies



Methodology used

Nanoparticles characterization



Physical-chemical characterization of AuNP-PAH, AuNR-PAH and AuNR-PEG UV-Vis, DLS, zeta potential

Cell membrane extraction and characterization



Membrane models



Comparative studies



Extraction of FC3-H and HTC cells membranes and characterization of the lipids and proteins extracted

Reconstitution of extracted cell membranes on subphase with and without nanoparticles

In vitro studies and ITC interactions to better understand

Membrane extraction and membrane model





Journal of Proteome Research 2009, 8, 3078-3090

✓ simple model systems to investigate the physicochemical properties of biological membranes.

 ✓ molecules to come closer together to form a ordered monolayer.



Methodology

Membrane characterization

FC3-H

3% of triglycerides (TAG), 11% of free fatty acids (FFA), 15% of free aliphatic alcohol (ALC), 2% sterol (ST), 6% of mobile polar lipids in ketone (AMPL) 62% of phospholipids (PL)

<u>HTC</u>

16% free aliphatic alcohol,10% mobile polar lipids in ketone74% of phospholipids.



Zeta potential [membrane protein] FC3-H -10.9 mV 428 µg/mL HTC -12.9 mV 590 µg/mL

Membrane Models

How looks FC3-H and HTC membrane cells at the subphase ...



Results and Discussion

jcancino@ursa.ifsc.usp.br

Membrane Models

+ AUNR-PAH and AUNP-PAH

Has morphology influence the uptake process in FC3-H and HTC membrane cells at the subphase?



Results and Discussion

10

jcancino@ursa.ifsc.usp.br

Membrane Models

... and charge influences?

+ AuNR-PEG



Membrane model vs in vitro results

FC3-H

uptake of nanoparticles no inhibition to adhesion action vesicles formation = uptake

HTC

uptake of nanoparticles high inhibition of adhesion incorporation through the monolayer



Summary

 \checkmark The extracted membranes from FC3-H and HTC cells were characterized and they revealed high differences in their composition.

 \checkmark The reconstitution of the membranes on the subphase showed that HTC formed more stable monolayers compared to FC3-H .

 \checkmark An expansion or decrease of the molecular area were indicatives that NP or NR affect packing of the lipids.

 \checkmark The models revealed that not morphology but charge is mandatory in uptake

 \checkmark FC3-H cell allows NPs uptake through the cell more easily, while HTC probably adsorbs NPs on the cell surface before uptake.

 \checkmark Such investigation may be of great importance to understanding toxicity of nanomaterials at molecular level.

Acknowledgements







Thank you for your attention!





Contacts: jcancino@ursa.ifsc.usp.br jcancinobernardi@gmail.com