

Sveriges lantbruksuniversitet Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences



GUIDE

Silver nanoparticle interactions during wwtp treatment determine their environmental path

Geert Cornelis, Nils-Petter-Sköld, Anna Maria Grivogiannis Forsberg, Jenny Perez Holmberg, Jani Tuoriniemi





GuideNANO – fate module













Wastewater treatment







Removal efficiency

135 literature values of % removal over a wide range of particle types and coatings







Influencing factors







Attachment

Batch experiments Citrate AgNP 20 nm Citrate AgNPs 80 nm PEG AgNP 80 nm

[Sludge] was varied by mixing UF (10 kDa) filtrates with concentrates

Shear was varied

Time-dependent sampling

- 0.45 µm PVDF filter
- ICP-MS (2 % HNO₃)









Citrate Ag 80 nm 200 rpm







Citrate Ag 80 nm 100 rpm

- Fast attachment
- Limited effect of shear
- No effect of NM coating
- Rate ~ TSS
- Rate ~ NM primary size
- [Attached] ~ time



Sedimentation

Different NMs reacted with sludge Citrate coated, 28 nm Citrate coated, 80 nm PEG coated, 80 nm

Imhoff cones Supernatant sampled at several time intervals at 3 cm depth

Varying [sludge]







No effect of coating material Sedimentation rate ~ NM primary size Sedimentation rate ~ 1/TSS

Decrease (removal) of Ag ~time Decrease (removal) of Ag >95%









WWTP microcosm

Secondary wastewater treatment



GUIDE GUIDE GUIDE



Immediately after spiking:

- De-aggregation of > 50 nm aggregates
- Occurence of a < 15 nm peak
- Dissolved Ag⁺ not detectable
 Loss of "larger" nanoparticles
 Much less neoformed smaller
 particles
 Some aggregation

Corresponding spherical diameter





Conclusions

- Fast attachment kinetics followed by fast settling → efficient removal of nanoparticles in WWTP
- Slightly higher persistence of smaller nanoparticles because of lower attachment rates
- Effect of coating inconsistent, possibly overgrown with DOM from wwtp
- TSS increases attachment rate but slows down sedimentation rate

spICP-MS: DATA ANALYSIS WORKSHOP

RIKILT Wageningen University & Research The Netherlands







www.nanofase.eu

Day1 (10th Jan): Lectures Day2 (11th Jan): Computer exercises Day3 (12th Jan): Hands-on-training (*optional*) empir.npl.co.uk/innanopart

Please register until 09.12.2016 under http://www.wur.nl/ en/activity/spICP-MS-data-analysis-workshop.htm





Contact: geert.cornelis@slu.se

Acknowledgments





Model?

- Nanofase: 95 % partitioning, focus on stormwater overflow
- GuideNANO: steady-state situation (Hendren et al., 2013)
 - Effluent concentration :
 - Sludge concentration :

$$C = \frac{C_{in}}{1 + \frac{\theta}{\theta_x}(K_d X^* X)}$$

$$C_{s} = \left(\frac{C_{ii}(K_{o})}{1 + \frac{\delta}{\theta_{x}}(K_{o})}\right)$$

C_{in}: influent concentration θ : hydraulic residence time θ_x solid residence time X = TSS K*: sludge thickening factor



$$K_d = C_t \frac{P}{1 - P} TSS$$

$$P = \text{percentage removal}$$

sludge